RECEIVED Central fax genter

AUG 2 5 2006

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05) Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Docket Number (Optional) PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW **Application Number** Filed I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail In an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for December 11, 2003 10/735,352 Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450* [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on August 25, 2006 First Named Inventor PURSLEY, Matt D. Signature_ Art Unit Examiner Typed or printed 1732 Tentoni, Leo B. Jeffrey L. Thompson name Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. Jeffrey L. Thompson See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. Typed or printed name (Form PTO/SB/96) attorney or agent of record. 785-335-2248 37,025 Registration number Telephone number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-500-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

forms are submitted.

*Total of

PEGEIVED CENTRAL PAX CENTER

AUG 2 5 2006

PUR-020

MAIL STOP AF
PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re tl	he Patent Application of)	
MATI	D. PURSLEY)	
Serial No. 10/735,352)	Group Art Unit: 1732
Filed:	December 11, 2003)	Examiner: LEO B. TENTONI
For:	CATHETER HAVING FIBROUS REINFORCEMENT AND METHOD OF MAKING THE SAME)))	

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to Group Art Unit 1732 of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. 571-273-8300) on August 25, 2006.

Jeffreya. Thompson Registration No. 37,025

ATTACHMENT FOR PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REOUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop: AF Commissioner for Patents Box 1450

..

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review is being made to identify and request reconsideration of two clearly improper rejections in the present application. The Applicant respectfully submits the following reasons in support of this request.

Aug 25 2006 3:03PM Thompson & Thompson, P.A.

Docket No. PUR-020 Serial No. 10/735,352

PATENT APPLICATION

p.7

Rejection of Claims 5, 6, 24, 28, 29, 31, 32, 36 and 37 Based on Bourrieres

Claims 5, 6, 24, 28, 29, 31, 32, 36 and 37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Bourrieres (U.S. Patent No. 4,878,984). The following discussion will be directed to this rejection of independent claims 28 and 36.

Bourrieres discloses a method of wrapping a cylindrical member, such as a pole 1 for supporting electric power transmission lines, with a web of fiber slivers 8, 9, 10. The apparatus includes a means for supplying the web of fiber slivers 8, 9, 10, and a means 11 whereby the slivers 8, 9, 10 are displaced along the pole 1 from the lower end to the upper end in a direction parallel to the axis of the pole 1 while the pole 1 is being driven in rotation. The method involves winding the filaments 8, 9, 10 around the pole member 1 from the bottom end to the top end, and then back to the bottom end in a continuous operation.

The means for displacing the slivers 8, 9, 10 in Bourrieres include bodies 14a, 14b that are slidably mounted on a threaded rod 12 and forks 21a, 21b for guiding the displacement of the fiber slivers 8, 9, 10. As shown in Fig. 6, the forks 21a, 21b in Bourrieres are arranged between a flattening cylinder 27 and the pole 1 on which the fiber slivers 8, 9, 10 are applied. While this arrangement may not be clearly understood from Fig. 3 of Bourrieres (the figure cited by the Examiner), it can be seen by comparing Figs. 3 and 6 of Bourrieres. The fiber slivers 8, 9, 10 flow from right-to-left in Fig. 3 of Bourrieres, and from left-to-right in Fig. 6. In Bourrieres, the fiber slivers 8, 9, 10 are flattened into "ribbons" or "tapes" by the cylinder 27 before the fiber slivers 8, 9, 10 pass through the forks 21a, 21b and then onto the pole 1. The forks 21a, 21b

Docket No. PUR-020 Serial No. 10/735,352 PATENT APPLICATION

therefore do not provide the same function or method step as the guide assembly 66 (Fig. 15) in the Applicant's invention.

In the Applicant's invention, the guide assembly 66 (Fig. 15) has a filament engaging surface 67 that is generally perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the core member 65. In addition, the filament engaging surface 67 is arranged and used in such a way that a group 60 of filaments 61-64 is caused to be oriented into a plane which is generally perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the core member 65, and then the group 60 of filaments are naturally reoriented and packed tightly against one another as the group 60 of filaments are wound onto the core member 65.

In Bourrieres, the fiber slivers 8, 9, 10 are oriented in a plane which is parallel to the longitudinal axis of the cylinder 27 and the pole 1 as the fiber slivers 8, 9, 10 pass through the forks 21a, 21b. In contrast, the filament groups 60 in the Applicant's claimed invention are oriented in a plane which is generally perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the core member 65. Moreover, the fiber slivers 8, 9, 10 in Bourrieres remain oriented in the same parallel plane as they pass through the forks 21a, 21b and onto the pole 1. The fiber slivers 8, 9, 10 in Bourrieres are not reoriented from a generally perpendicular plane into a parallel plane, as in the Applicant's claimed invention.

The Applicant's independent claims 28 and 36 use the phrase "generally perpendicular" to define certain aspects of the claimed invention. While this phrase is broader than the term "perpendicular" by itself, it is clearly not so broad as to encompass its opposite, "parallel," as proposed by the Examiner. This overly broad reading of the claim language by the Examiner is a Aug 25 2006 3:03PM Thompson & Thompson, P.A. 785-335-2502

Docket No. PUR-020 Serial No. 10/735,352

PATENT APPLICATION

clear error that should be corrected by the review panel.

Moreover, there appears to be no teaching in Bourrieres that each of the fiber slivers 8, 9, 10 is "a group of filaments" that are positioned side-by-side and packed tightly against one another as they are wound onto the pole. Instead, the fiber slivers 8, 9, 10 in Bourrieres appear to enter the forks 21a, 21b in the same form and orientation as they are wound onto the pole 1. Finally, it is respectfully submitted that the teachings of Bourrieres are concerned with wrapping large cylindrical members (e.g., poles for supporting electric power lines), and therefore would seem to have little relevance to the Applicant's claimed invention for making a catheter.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejection of independent claims 28 and 36 based on Bourrieres is improper and should be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claims 2, 3, 50 and 51 Based on Bourrieres in View of Goldsworthy et al.

Claims 2, 3, 50 and 51 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Bourrieres in view of Goldsworthy et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,701,489). The following discussion will be directed at the rejection of independent claim 51.

The combined teachings of Bourrieres and Goldsworthy et al., taken as a whole, fail to teach or suggest the claimed invention for at least the same reasons explained above regarding the rejection of claims 28 and 36 based on Bourrieres.

In addition, it is noted that Goldsworthy et al. teaches an apparatus for winding filament about a mandrel in the manufacturing of containers. Neither Bourrieres nor Goldsworthy et al. disclose methods for making catheters. As such, neither of these references teach or suggest the Docket No. PUR-020 Serial No. 10/735,352 PATENT APPLICATION

Applicant's claimed method of making a catheter, nor the step of anchoring a group of filaments to a core member at a proximal end of the catheter.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejection of independent claim 51 based on Bourrieres in view of Goldsworthy et al. is improper and should be withdrawn.

Favorable consideration of this request for review and allowance of this application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted by:

Dated: August 25, 2006

Registration No. 37,025

THOMPSON & THOMPSON, P.A. 310 4th Street
P.O. Box 166
Scandia, Kansas 66966-0166
Telephone (785) 335-2248
Facsimile (785) 335-2502
E-mail: ttlaw@nckcn.com

Customer No. 26,821